System: Southern University System

Institution: Southern University at Shreveport

July 2015

GRAD Act Template for Reporting Annual Benchmarks and 6-Year Targets

•	Measure		Baseline Year/Term	Baseline	Year 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 2	Year 3	Year 3	Year 4	Year 4	Year 5	Year 5	Year 6
			Data to include	data	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Target
1. Student Success															
a. <i>i.a.</i> Targeted	1st to 2nd Year Retention Rate		Fall 08 to Fall 09	52.0%	52.0%	46.1%	52.3%	49.4%	53.3%	50.6%	54.3%	38.4%	55.3%	42.6%	56.3%
		Actual Baseline Data:	# in Fall 08 Cohort	360	_	575		464		324		586		713	
			# retained to Fall 09	187		265		229		164		225		<i>304</i>	
iv. Targeted	Same Institution Graduation Rate		2008 Grad Rate Survey	22.0%	14.0%	14.0%	15.4%	13.7%	17.4%	13.3%	19.6%	12.0%	22.3%	<i>16.0%</i>	24.3%
		Actual Baseline Data:	Fall revised cohort (total)	265	_	304		212		264		395		329	
			completers <=150% of time	58		42		29		<i>35</i>		47		51	
b. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Percent Change in program completers														
	Certificate				2.8%	2.8%	1.0%	-1.4%	3.0%	5.6%	3.0%	40.8%	4.0%	29.6%	5.0%
			2008-09 AY	71	<i>73</i>	<i>73</i>	72	<i>70</i>	73	<i>75</i>	<i>73</i>	100	74	<i>92</i>	<i>75</i>
	Associate				-12.9%	-12.9%	0.9%	<i>17.0%</i>	1.8%	41.5%	1.8%	<i>15.2%</i>	4.0%	<i>19.2%</i>	4.9%
			2008-09 AY	224	195	195	226	262	228	317	228	258	233	<i>267</i>	235
d. i. d. Targeted	Passage rate on licensure exam in Nursing (RN)		2008 Calendar Year						88.8%	96.1%	89.2%	<i>78.3%</i>	89.8%	86.8%	90.5%
		Actual Baseline Data:	# of students who took exam							51		<i>83</i>		<i>38</i>	
			# of students that passed							49		<i>65</i>		<i>33</i>	
2. Articulation & Tran	sfer													,	
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Number of students enrolled in a transfer degree program		2008-09 AY						15	0	17	<i>68</i>	17	<i>526</i>	20
3. Workforce & Econo	mic Development														
b. <i>iii.</i> Targeted	# of programs offered through 100% distance education		January 1, 2013						0	0	0	2	2	2	2
4. Institutional Efficie	ncy & Accountability														
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Percent of eligible programs that are discipline a	ccredited	January 1, 2013						57.1%	61.5%	57.1%	61.5%	57.1%	<i>61.5%</i>	57.1%
	Actual Baseline Data: # programs									13		13		13	
			# discipline accredited							8		8		8	

A margin of error will be allowed for annual benchmarks and 6-year targets in the Annual Review