System: Southern University System

Institution: Southern University at Shreveport

July 2016

**GRAD Act Template for Reporting Annual Benchmarks and 6-Year Targets** 

Element Reference	Measure		seline Year/Term	Baseline	Year 1	Year 1	Year 2	Year 2	Year 3	Year 3	Year 4	Year 4	Year 5	Year 5	Year 6	Year 6
Element Reference			ta to include	data	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Benchmark	Actual	Target	Actual
1. Student Success											-					
a. <i>i.a.</i> Targeted	1st to 2nd Year Retention Rate	Fal	ll 08 to Fall 09	52.0%	52.0%	46.1%	52.3%	49.4%	53.3%	50.6%	54.3%	38.4%	55.3%	42.6%	56.3%	46.5%
	A	Actual Baseline Data: # ir	n Fall 08 Cohort	360		<i>575</i>		464		324		586		713		245
		# re	etained to Fall 09	187		265		229		164		225		304		114
iv. Targeted	Same Institution Graduation Rate	200	08 Grad Rate Survey	22.0%	14.0%	14.0%	15.4%	13.7%	17.4%	13.3%	19.6%	12.0%	22.3%	16.0%	24.3%	11.2%
	A	Actual Baseline Data: Fal	ll revised cohort (total)	265		304		212		264		395		329		357
		cor	mpleters <=150% of time	58		42		29		35		47		51		40
b. <i>i.</i> Targeted	rgeted Percent Change in program completers															
	Certificate				2.8%	2.8%	1.0%	-1.4%	3.0%	5.6%	3.0%	40.8%	4.0%	29.6%	5.0%	5.6%
		200	08-09 AY	71	73	73	72	70	73	75	73	100	74	92	75	<i>75</i>
	Associate				-12.9%	-12.9%	0.9%	17.0%	1.8%	41.5%	1.8%	15.2%	4.0%	19.2%	4.9%	15.6%
		200	08-09 AY	224	195	195	226	262	228	317	228	258	233	267	235	<b>259</b>
d. i. d. Targeted	Passage rate on licensure exam in Nursing (RN)	200	08 Calendar Year						88.8%	96.1%	89.2%	78.3%	89.8%	86.8%	90.5%	74.0%
	Actual Baseline Data: # of students who took exam								51		83		38		50	
		# o	of students that passed							49		65		33		37
2. Articulation & Tran	sfer															
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Number of students enrolled in a transfer degree program 2008-09 AY		08-09 AY						15	0	17	68	17	<i>526</i>	20	752
3. Workforce & Econo	mic Development															
b. iii. Targeted	# of programs offered through 100% distance education January 1, 2		nuary 1, 2013						0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2
4. Institutional Efficiency & Accountability																
d. <i>i.</i> Targeted	Percent of eligible programs that are discipline accredited January 1, 2013							57.1%	61.5%	57.1%	61.5%	57.1%	61.5%	<i>57.1%</i>	61.5%	
	Actual Baseline Data: # programs									13		13		13		13
		# d	discipline accredited							8		8		8		8

A margin of error will be allowed for annual benchmarks and 6-year targets in the Annual Review